

*Regularity results for nonlinear elliptic
and parabolic differential equations*

Jens Frehse

Partially with joint work with coauthors

Alain Bensoussan

Miroslav Bulicek

Jens Vogelgesang

Hans Joachim Straesner

General remarks about the system

Introduction

We consider

$$\dot{u}_\nu + \mathcal{L}u_\nu + \alpha_\nu u_\nu = H_\nu(x, u, \nabla u)$$

$$\nu = 1, \dots, N, \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu v = - \sum_{i,k=1}^n D_i(a_{ik}^\nu(x) D_k v)$$

and $H = (H_1, \dots, H_N)$ is a Caratheodory function

$$H : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

with **quadratic growth** in ∇u

$$|H(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq K |\nabla u|^2 + K_0$$

Well known: **Quadratic** behaviour of H creates **singularities**:

scalar case:

$$u = \ln |\ln |x||$$

this implies

$$-\Delta u = |\nabla u|^2$$

u bounded & H^1 , scalar \rightarrow regularity (Ladyzhenskaya, Uralzeva)

Counterexamples for elliptic systems

Bounded solutions to elliptic systems, may be irregular even for dimensions $n \geq 2$

scalar **complex** valued example

$$u = e^{i \ln |\ln |x||}$$

solves

$$-\Delta u = (1 + i)|\nabla u|^2 u$$

this can be interpreted as real valued system

Harmonic mappings ($n \geq 3$): $\frac{x}{|x|}$ solves

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 dx = \min, \quad \text{subject to } |u| = 1$$

$$-\Delta u = u|\nabla u|^2$$

Consequence: **additional structure for H is needed**

A standard structure condition where the step

$$L^\infty \Rightarrow H^1 \cap C^\alpha \cap W^{2,p}$$

works is

For **2** equations

$$\begin{cases} |H_1(x, p)| & \leq K|p|^2 + K \\ |H_2(x, p)| & \leq K|p_2|^2 + K|p_1||p_2| + K \end{cases}$$

For **3** equations

$$\begin{cases} |H_1(x, p)| & \leq K|p_1|^2 + K|p_1||p_2| + K|p_1||p_3| + K \\ |H_2(x, p)| & \leq K|p_1|^2 + K|p_2|^2 + K|p_2||p_3| + K \\ |H_3(x, p)| & \leq K|p_1|^2 + K|p_2|^2 + K|p_3|^2 + K. \end{cases}$$

These conditions arise from stochastic differential games

Special structure of H

$$H_\nu(x, u, \nabla u) = H_{0\nu}(x, u, \nabla u_i) - \nabla u_i \cdot L(x, u, \nabla u) - u_i F_i(x, u, \nabla u) + f_i(x),$$

with

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} H_{0i}(x, u, \nabla u) \\ F_i(x, u, \nabla u) \\ L(x, u, \nabla u) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{quadratic growth in } \nabla u \\ \text{linear growth in } \nabla u \end{array}$$

The case $H_{0\nu} = 0$, $F_i \geq 0$ has been treated by **Wiegner**, say

$$-\Delta u + u|\nabla u|^2 = f$$

permits **full regularity**, i.e. $W^{2,p}$ -solutions

open:

$$-\Delta u_\nu + u_\nu|\nabla u|^2 = f + b_\nu|\nabla u_\nu|^2$$

Applications to stochastic differential games

Stochastic Differential Games with Discount Control

Consider N **players**, who can modify the evolution of a dynamic system

$$dy = g(y, v_1, \dots, v_N) dt + \sigma(y) dw$$

$$y(0) = x$$

$g(t)$	drift term
$\sigma(t)$	diffusion term
$w(t)$	Wiener process
$v_1(t), \dots, v_n(t)$	controls
$y(t)$	state at time t

Cost functional of player i

$$\mathcal{J}_i(x, v(\cdot)) = E_i \left[\int_0^\tau l_i(y(t); v(t)) \exp \left(- \int_0^t c_i(y(s), v(s)) dt \right) + \phi_i(y(\tau)) \exp \left(- \int_0^\tau c_i(y(t), v(t)) dt \right) \right]$$

τ exit time of $y(t)$

The factor

$$\exp \left(- \int_0^t c_i(y(s), v(s)) dt \right)$$

is the **discount factor** of the i -th player which can be influenced by him/her.

Nash Point

Find $\hat{v}_1(\cdot), \dots, \hat{v}_N(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_i(x, \hat{v}_1(\cdot), \dots, \hat{v}_i(\cdot), \dots, \hat{v}_N(\cdot)) \leq \mathcal{J}_i(x, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}(\cdot), \dots, \hat{v}_N(\cdot))$$

$l_i(x, v_1, \dots, v_N), c_i(x, v_1, \dots, v_N), \phi_i(x)$
are given functions

The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{L}_i(x, \lambda_i, p_i, v) = l_i(x, v) + p_i g(x, v) - \lambda_i c_i(x, v)$$

Fixing x, λ_i, p_i , we look for a **Nash point** $\hat{V}_1(x, \lambda, p), \dots, \hat{V}_N(x, \lambda, p)$ for the functionals L_i .

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$$

$$p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$$

We define

$$H_i(x, \lambda, p) = \mathcal{L}_i(x, \lambda_i, p_i, \hat{V}(x, \lambda, p))$$

The Hamilton-Bellmann equations

$$Au_i = H_i(x, u, Du), \quad u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

$$A = - \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

Once we have found a **regular solution**, say $u_i \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $p > n$, we may set

$$\hat{v}_i(x) = \hat{V}_i(x, u(x), Du(x))$$

and obtain an **optimal feedback** for the player i , in the sense that

$$\hat{v}_i(t) = \hat{v}_i(y(t))$$

A Standard Example for Lagrangians Modelling Discount Control

A reasonably simple class of Lagrangians \mathcal{L}_i is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_i(x, \lambda_i, p_i, v) = & \frac{1}{2} v_i \cdot B_i v_i + p_i \sum_{\nu=1}^N A_\nu v_\nu - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^N v_\nu \cdot C_\nu^i v_\nu \right) + f_i(x). \end{aligned}$$

A **Nash point** v^* of \mathcal{L}_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} B_i v_i^* + A_i^T p_i - \lambda_i C_i^i v_i^* &= 0 \quad \text{and} \\ v_i^* &= -(B_i - \lambda_i C_i^i)^{-1} A_i^T p_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_i(x, u, \nabla u) &= \frac{1}{2} E_i \nabla u_i \cdot B_i E_i \nabla u_i - \\
&\quad - \nabla u_i \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^N A_\nu E_\nu \nabla u_\nu - \frac{1}{2} u_i \sum_{\nu=1}^N E_\nu \nabla u_\nu \cdot C_\nu^i E_\nu \nabla u_\nu + f_i(x) =: \\
&=: H_{0i}(x, u, \nabla u_i) - \nabla u_i \cdot L(x, u, \nabla u) - u_i F_i(x, u, \nabla u)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_i := -(B_i - u_i C_i)^{-1} A_i^T .$$

Cyclic Games

Interesting examples for Lagrangians: **Cyclic games**

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu(x, v) := \frac{1}{2}|v_\nu|^2 + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq \nu}}^N \theta v_\nu v_i + p_\nu \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N A_i v_i$$

Theory works for $|\theta| < 1$

Stackelberg games:

The **j -player** knows the strategy of the players $1, \dots, j - 1$

Analysis of elliptic systems arising from problems with discount controls

Discussion of the Hamiltonian with Respect to PDE-Theory

The Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_i(x, u, \nabla u) = H_{0i}(x, u, \nabla u_i) - \nabla u_i L(x, u, \nabla u) - u_i F_i(x, u, \nabla u) + f_i.$$

a priori condition

$$\lambda_0 Id \leq B_i - u_i C_i^i \leq \Lambda_0 Id$$

H_{0i}, L, F_i are Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{nm}$

$$|H_{0i}(x, u, \eta_i)| \leq K|\eta_i|^2 + K_0$$

$$0 \leq F_i(x, u, \eta) \leq K|\eta|^2 + K_0$$

$$|L(x, u, \eta)| \leq K|\eta| + K_0$$

Theorem

Let H satisfy the structure condition

$$|H_{0i}(x, u, \eta_i)| \leq K|\eta_i|^2 + K_0$$

$$0 \leq F_i(x, u, \eta) \leq K|\eta|^2 + K_0$$

$$|L(x, u, \eta)| \leq K|\eta| + K_0$$

Let $f \in L^\infty$ and $n = 2$

then there exists a **regular** solution.

Iterated Exponential Test Functions

We work with the iterated exponential functions

$$\psi_i = \tau(e^{\beta u_i} - e^{-\beta u_i}) \exp \left(c \sum_{\nu=1}^N (e^{\beta u_\nu} + e^{-\beta u_\nu}) \right)$$

In this simple case, double iterated exponentials are sufficient

Effect of the test function on the right hand side of the Hamiltonian

$$-\Delta u_i + \alpha_i u_i = H_{0i}(x, u, \nabla u_i) - \nabla u_i L(x, u, \nabla u) - \\ - u_i F_i(x, u, \nabla u) + f_i(x)$$

⇒

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N \int [|\nabla u_\nu|^2 (e^{\beta u_\nu} + e^{-\beta u_\nu}) + (\alpha_\nu u_\nu - f_\nu)(e^{\beta u_\nu} - e^{-\beta u_\nu})] \times \\ \times \exp \left(c \sum_{\mu=1}^N (e^{\beta u_\mu} + e^{-\beta u_\mu}) \right) \tau dx \leq \\ \leq - \sum_{\nu=1}^N \int \nabla u_\nu (e^{\beta u_\nu} - e^{-\beta u_\nu}) \exp \left(c \sum_{\nu=1}^N (e^{\beta u_\nu} + e^{-\beta u_\nu}) \right) \nabla \tau dx .$$

L^∞ -Estimates

Lemma:

Let $w \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H_{\Gamma_D}^1(\Omega)$ be a weak solution and assume our structure conditions.
Then

$$\alpha_0^{-1} \operatorname{ess\,inf}[f]_- \leq w \leq \alpha_0^{-1} \operatorname{ess\,sup}[f]_+$$

Notation:

$$[f]_- = \min\{f, 0\}$$

$$[f]_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$$

A Logarithmic Morrey Estimate for ∇u

Lemma:

Let $u \in L^\infty \cap H^1$ be a solution of the system and assume the growth and sign conditions. Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $f \in L^q$, with some $q > 1$. Then, for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there is a constant K_α such that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 |\ln |x - x_0||^\alpha dx \leq K_\alpha, \quad x_0 \in \Omega$$

C^α -Estimates

Combine the uniform estimate

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq K |\ln R|^{-\delta}$$

with a **global hole filling** argument
 \Rightarrow estimate:

$$S_{R_0} := \sup_{\substack{B_R \\ R \leq R_0}} R^{-2\alpha} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

$$S_{R_0} \leq S_{2R_0} + K$$

$\Rightarrow u \in C^\alpha$ due to Morrey's lemma for $n = 2$

General case

The standard structure conditions

$$- \sum_{i,k=1}^n D_i(a_{ik}^\nu(x) D_k u) = H_\nu(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \nu = 1, \dots, N$$

$$|H_1(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq K |\nabla u_1|^2 + K |\nabla u| |\nabla u_1| + K$$

$$|H_2(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq K |\nabla u_1|^2 + K |\nabla u_2|^2 + K |\nabla u| |\nabla u_2| + K$$

$$|H_3(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq K (|\nabla u_1|^2 + |\nabla u_2| + |\nabla u_3|) + K |\nabla u| |\nabla u_3| + K$$

⋮

$$|H_{n-1}(x, u, \nabla u)| \leq K (|\nabla u_1| + \dots + |\nabla u_{n-1}|) + K |\nabla u| |\nabla u_{n-1}| + K$$

C^α -regularity for u in arbitrary dimension is obtained via testfunctions using iterated exponentials