

Panel data

Jaroslav Dufek

Obsah

- 1 The fixed effects model
- 2 The random effects model
- 3 Prediction

Model

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha + \mathbb{X}_{it}^T \beta + u_{it}$$

- i ... panels (firms, countries, individual parameters)
- t ... time
- α ... scalar
- β ... regressors dim $K + 1$
- \mathbb{X}_{it}^T ... observation for i -th panel and time t

One way error component model

$$u_{it} = \mu_i + \nu_{it} \quad (1)$$

- μ_i ... unobservable individual effects
- ν_{it} ... remainder disturbance

Vector notation

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{NT} + \mathbb{X}\beta + \mathbf{u} = \mathbb{Z}\delta + \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

- $\mathbf{y} \dots (NT \times 1)$
- $\mathbb{X} \dots (NT \times K)$
- $\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbf{1}_{NT}, \mathbb{X})$
- $\delta^T = (\alpha, \beta^T)$
- $\mathbf{1}_{NT}$ vector of ones of dimension NT

Vector notation of error I

Then (1) is possible rewrite

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbb{Z}_\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\nu} \quad (3)$$

- $\mathbf{u}^T = (u_{11}, \dots, u_{1T}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{2T}, \dots, u_{N1}, \dots, u_{NT})$
- $\mathbb{Z}_\mu = \mathbb{I}_N \otimes \mathbb{J}_T$
- $\mathbb{I}_N \dots$ identity matrix of dimension N
- $A \otimes B \dots$ Kronecker product
- $\boldsymbol{\mu}^T = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N); \boldsymbol{\nu}^T = (\nu_{11}, \dots, \nu_{1T}, \dots, \nu_{N1}, \dots, \nu_{NT})$

$$\implies \mathbb{Z}_\mu \mathbb{Z}_\mu^T = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{I}_N \mathbb{J}_T$$

- $\mathbb{J}_T \dots$ matrix of ones of dimension T

Vector notation of error II

Let's define

- $\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{Z}_\mu (\mathbb{Z}_\mu^T \mathbb{Z}_\mu)^{-1} \mathbb{Z}_\mu^T \dots$ projection matrix of \mathbb{Z}_μ
- $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbf{1}_{NT} - \mathbb{P} \dots$ deviation from individual means

$\implies \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$ are idempotent matrices

- $\mathbb{P}^T = \mathbb{P}$
- $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}$
- $\text{rank}(\mathbb{P}) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{P}) = N; \text{rank}(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{tr}(\mathbb{Q}) = N(T - 1)$

$\implies \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$ are orthogonal i.e. $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{Q} = 0 \implies \mathbb{P} + \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{I}_{NT}$

The fixed effects models I

From (1) and (2)

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{NT} + \mathbb{X}\beta + \mathbb{Z}_\mu \mu + \nu \quad (4)$$

- μ_i is fixed parameter for estimate
- ν_{it} iid; $E\nu_{it} = 0$, $var\nu_{it} = \sigma_\nu^2$
- $\forall i, t$ \mathbb{X}_{it} , ν_{it} are mutually independent

The fixed effects models II

From (4) we can easily obtain

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{Z}\delta + \mathbb{Z}_\mu\mu + \nu \quad (5)$$

~~ run the OLS for estimation of parameters α, β, μ

- \mathbb{Z} is $NT \times (K + 1)$
- \mathbb{Z}_μ is $NT \times N$

⇒ for N huge the OLS needs to invert matrix of dimension $(N + K)$!!!

The fixed effects models III - Trick

We are interested in parameters α, β

~ LSDV (least squares dummy variables)

pre-multiplying (5) by matrix \mathbb{Q}

$$\mathbb{Q}\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{X}\beta + \mathbb{Q}\nu$$

\implies typical regression $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{y}$ with typical representants $(y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot})$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{X}$ with typical representants $(X_{it,k} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot,k})$ for k -th regressor where $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$

~ inversion of matrix of type $(K \times K)$

Estimation by the OLS method

- $\tilde{\beta} = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{y}$
- $\text{var}\tilde{\beta} = \sigma_\nu^2 (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{X})^{-1} = \sigma_\nu^2 (\tilde{\mathbb{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbb{X}})^{-1}$

The fixed effects models IV - Remark

- Simple regression

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \mu_i + \nu_{it} \quad (*)$$

- Averaging

$$\bar{y}_{i\cdot} = \alpha + \beta \bar{X}_{i\cdot} + \mu_i + \bar{\nu}_{i\cdot} \quad (**)$$

- $(*) - (**)$

$$y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot} = \beta(X_{it} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot}) + (\nu_{it} - \bar{\nu}_{i\cdot}) \quad (6)$$

- Averaging again

$$\bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot} = \alpha + \beta \bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot} + \bar{\nu}_{\cdot\cdot}$$

- subject to $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i = 0 \dots$ preventing from dummy trap

- it is possible to estimate only parameters β and $(\alpha + \mu_i)$

- impossible of separation of parameters α and μ_i

The fixed effects models V - Significance

Testing of significance of fixed effects

- $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{N-1} = 0$
- testing statistics under H_0

$$F_0 = \frac{(RRSS - URSS)/(N-1)}{URSS/(NT - N - K)} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F_{N-1, N(T-1)-K}$$

- RRSS restricted residual sum of squares
- URSS unrestricted residual sum of squares

The fixed effects models VI - Robust estimates of std errors

- equation for regression after pre-multiplying by \mathbb{Q}

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_i \beta + \tilde{\nu}_i$$

- robust least squares

$$N^{1/2}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) \sim N(0, M^{-1} V M^{-1})$$

- $M = plim(\bar{\mathbb{X}}\mathbb{X})/N; V = plim \sum_1^N (\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_i^T \Omega_i \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_i)/N$
- $\Omega_i = E(\nu_i \nu_i^T)$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{var}(\tilde{\beta}) = (\tilde{\mathbb{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbb{X}})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^T \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_i \right] (\tilde{\mathbb{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbb{X}})^{-1}$$

- $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i - \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_i \tilde{\beta}$

The random effects model I

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{NT} + \mathbb{X}\beta + \mathbb{Z}_\mu \mu + \nu$$

- $\mu_i \dots$ iid, $E\mu_i = 0$, $\text{var}\mu_i = \sigma_\mu^2$
- $\nu_{it} \dots$ iid, $E\nu_{it} = 0$, $\text{var}\nu_{it} = \sigma_\nu^2$
- μ_i, ν_{it}, X_{it} mutually independent
- from (3) $u = \mathbb{Z}_\mu \mu + \nu$ we can obtain correlation matrix

$$\Omega = E(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T) = \mathbb{Z}_\mu E(\mu\mu^T)\mathbb{Z}_\mu^T + E(\nu\nu^T) = \sigma_\mu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \mathbb{J}_T) + \sigma_\nu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \mathbb{J}_T) \quad (*)$$

$$\text{cov}(u_{it}, u_{js}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_\nu^2 & \text{for } i = j, t = s \\ \sigma_\mu^2 & \text{for } i = j, t \neq s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The random effects model II - GLS Estimator

- For GLS estimator is needed Ω^{-1} that is matrix of type $(NT \times NT)$
~~~ **Trick** Wansbeek(1982,1983) for  $\Omega^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega^{-1/2}$
- For substitution  $\mathbb{J}_T$  by  $\bar{\mathbb{J}}_T$  and  $\mathbb{I}_T$  by  $(\mathbb{E}_T + \bar{\mathbb{J}}_T)$ ;  $\mathbb{E}_T = \mathbb{I}_T - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_T$  in (\*) obtain

$$\Omega = T\sigma_\mu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \bar{\mathbb{J}}_T) + \sigma_\nu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \mathbb{E}_T) + \sigma_\nu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \bar{\mathbb{J}}_T)$$

i.e.

$$\Omega = (T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_\nu^2)(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \bar{\mathbb{J}}_T) + \sigma_\nu^2(\mathbb{I}_N \otimes \mathbb{E}_T) = \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{P} + \sigma_\nu^2 \mathbb{Q}, \quad (8)$$

$$\text{kde } \sigma_1^2 = T\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma_\nu^2$$

## The random effects model III - GLS Estimator

- (8) is spectral decomposition of  $\Omega$  where first unique characteristic root  $\sigma_1^2$  of multiplicity  $N$  and second root  $\sigma_\nu^2$  of multiplicity  $N(T - 1)$
- From properties of  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$ 
  - ▶  $\Omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\mathbb{P} + \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu^2}\mathbb{Q}$
  - ▶  $\Omega^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1}\mathbb{P} + \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu}\mathbb{Q}$
- generally holds  $\Omega^r = (\sigma_1^2)^r\mathbb{P} + (\sigma_\nu^2)^r\mathbb{Q}$
- after pre-multiplying of (2) by  $\sigma_\nu\Omega^{-1/2} = \mathbb{Q} + \frac{\sigma_\nu}{\sigma_1}\mathbb{P}$  we obtain regression  $\mathbf{y}^* = \sigma_\nu\Omega^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  OLS - inversion of matrix of dimension  $(K + 1)$

# The best quadratic unbiased (BQU) estimator

- BQU estimator comes from spectral decomposition  $\Omega$

$$\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbb{P} \mathbf{u}}{tr(\mathbb{P})} = \frac{T \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{u}_{i\cdot}^2}{N} \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_\nu^2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{u}}{tr(\mathbb{Q})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t+1}^T (u_{it} - \bar{u}_{i\cdot})^2}{N(T-1)} \quad (10)$$

## Least squares dummies variables (LSDV)

- OLS estimates are still unbiased and consistent but not efficient  
~~ use LSDV residuals instead of OLS residuals
- $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{y} - \tilde{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{NT} - \bar{\mathbb{X}}^T \tilde{\beta}$ ,
  - ▶  $\tilde{\alpha} = \bar{y}_{..} - \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{..}^T \tilde{\beta}$
  - ▶  $\bar{\mathbb{X}}_{..}^T \dots (1 \times K)$  vector of averages of all regressors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{NT}(\hat{\sigma}_\nu^2 - \sigma_\nu^2) \\ \sqrt{N}(\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 - \sigma_\mu^2) \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( 0, \begin{pmatrix} 2\sigma_\nu^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma_\mu^4 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2 = \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_1^2 - \hat{\sigma}_\nu^2)}{T}$

# Double regression I

Swamy (1972)

- first regression equation

- ▶ form (6)  $y_{it} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot} = \beta(X_{it} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot}) + (\nu_{it} - \bar{\nu}_{i\cdot})$

$$\hat{\sigma}_\nu^2 = \frac{[\mathbf{y}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{y}]}{N(T-1) - K}$$

- second regression equation (cross-section regression)

$$\sqrt{T} \bar{y}_i = \sqrt{T} \alpha + \sqrt{T} \bar{\mathbb{X}}_i^T \beta + \sqrt{T} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_i,$$

where  $\text{var}(\sqrt{T} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{i\cdot}) = \sigma_1^2$



$$\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbb{P} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbb{P} \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbb{P} \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbb{P} \mathbf{y}}{N - K - 1}$$

## Double regression II - Remark

- The double regression can be rewritten in matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbb{P}\mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbb{P}\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\delta} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{u} \\ \mathbb{P}\mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

- transformed error has zero mean and covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\nu^2 \mathbb{Q} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{P} \end{pmatrix}$$

- if we want to get rid of constant  $\alpha$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{y} \\ (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})\mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{X} \\ (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})\mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{u} \\ (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})\mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

- we obtain it by using facts that  $\mathbb{Q}\mathbf{1}_{NT} = 0$  and  $(\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})\mathbf{1}_{NT} = 0$
- transformed error has zero mean and covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\nu^2 \mathbb{Q} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{P} \end{pmatrix}$$

## GLS II

GLS on (11):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{GLS} &= \left[ \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{X}}{\sigma_\nu^2} + \frac{\mathbb{X}^T (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT}) \mathbb{X}}{\sigma_1^2} \right]^{-1} \times \left[ \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{y}}{\sigma_\nu^2} + \frac{\mathbb{X}^T (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT}) \mathbf{y}}{\sigma_1^2} \right] = \\ &= [\mathbb{W}_{XX} + \Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{XX}]^{-1} [\mathbb{W}_{Xy} + \Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{Xy}]\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{var} \hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \sigma_\nu^2 = [\mathbb{W}_{XX} + \Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{XX}]$
- $\mathbb{W}_{XX} = \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{X}; \quad \mathbb{B}_{XX} = \mathbb{X}^T (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT}) \mathbb{X}; \quad \Phi^2 = \frac{\sigma_\nu^2}{\sigma_1^2}$

## GLS III

- $\tilde{\beta}_W = \mathbb{W}_{xx}^{-1} \mathbb{W}_{xy}$
- $\tilde{\beta}_B = \mathbb{B}_{xx}^{-1} \mathbb{B}_{xy}$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \mathbb{W}_1 \tilde{\beta}_W + \mathbb{W}_2 \tilde{\beta}_B$$

- ▶  $\mathbb{W}_1 = (\mathbb{W}_{xx} + \Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{xx})^{-1} \mathbb{W}_{xx}$
- ▶  $\mathbb{W}_2 = (\mathbb{W}_{xx} + \Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{xx})^{-1} (\Phi^2 \mathbb{B}_{xx}) = \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{W}_1$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{GLS}$  is weighted estimate

# Maximum likelihood estimation

- under normality of disturbances

$$L(\alpha, \beta, \Phi^2, \sigma_{nu}^2) = \text{const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \log \sigma_\nu^2 + \frac{N}{2} \log \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_\nu^2} \mathbf{u}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{u}$$

- $\Sigma = \mathbb{Q} + \Phi^{-2} \mathbb{P}$
- $\hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}; \hat{\alpha}_{\text{mle}} = \bar{y}_{..} - \mathbb{X}_{..}^T \hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}$
- $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{mle}}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{d}^T [\mathbb{Q} + \Phi^2 (\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})] \mathbf{d}}{NT}$ 
  - $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbb{X} \hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}}$

## Concentrated likelihood

$$L_C(\beta, \Phi^2) = \text{const.} - \frac{NT}{2} \log \left\{ \mathbf{d}^T [\mathbb{Q} + \Phi^2(\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})] \mathbf{d} \right\} + \frac{N}{2} \log \Phi^2 \quad (12)$$

- max (12) over  $\beta$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{mle}} = \left[ \mathbb{X}^T (\mathbb{Q} + \Phi^2(\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})) \mathbb{X} \right]^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T [\mathbb{Q} + \Phi^2(\mathbb{P} - \bar{\mathbb{J}}_{NT})] \mathbf{y}$$

# Prediction

- predict  $S$  period ahead for  $i$ -th individual

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i,T+s} = \mathbb{Z}_{i,T+s}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{\text{GLS}} + \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{GLS}} \quad s \geq 1$$

- $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{GLS}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbb{Z} \hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}_{\text{GLS}}$
- $\mathbf{w} = E[u_{i,T+s} \cdot u]$
- $u_{i,T+s} = \mu_i + \nu_{i,T+s}$

# Literatura



Badi H. Baltagi:

Econometrics Analysis of Panel Data  
JOHN WILEY & SONS, LTD, 2001.



Swamy:

The exact finite sample properties of the estimators of coefficients in the error components regression models.  
*Econometrica* 40: 261–275, 1972.



Wansbeek:

A simple way to obtain the spectral decomposition of variance components models for balanced data.

*Communications in Statistics A11: 2105–2112, 1982.*



Wansbeek:

A note on spectral decomposition and maximum likelihood estimation of ANOVA models with balanced data.

*Statistics and Probability Letters 1: 213–215, 1983.*

Děkuji za pozornost