

Recall that every WEP is absolutely irreducible & 4.9 (i.e. irreducible in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ ) and if  $w \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is absolutely irreducible, then  $k = \tilde{k}$  in  $k(V_w)$  & 4.10. So we get a consequence:

Corollary 4.11 If  $C$  is a nonsingular curve, then each  $p \in k(C)$  is transcendental over  $k$ .

Example 4.12  $w = y^2 + yx + x^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]$  is WEP and  $\mathbb{F}_2(V_w) = L$  is the fraction field of  $\mathbb{F}_2[x, y]/(w) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]_{(w)}/(w)$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_2 = \mathbb{F}_2$  are the set of all (nonzero) algebraic elements of  $L$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .  
 $\Rightarrow x^2 + x + 1, x^3 + x + 1$  has no roots in  $L \Rightarrow$  they are irreducible.

If we consider  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x, y] \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_2 = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}(V_w)$  on  $L$ .

T&N Let  $w \in k[x, y], L$  be an AFF over  $k, \alpha, \beta \in L$ . We say that an AFF  $L$  is given by (the equation)  $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  if (1)  $L = k(\alpha, \beta)$ , (2)  $w$  is irreducible, (3)  $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  in  $L$ .

Observation If  $k(V_w)$  is the function field of  $V_w$  for irreducible  $w \in k[x, y]$  and  $\alpha := x + (w), \beta := y + (w)$ . Then  $k(V_w)$  is an AFF given by  $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ .

Comment:  $w$  is a polynomial determining the affine plane curve  $V_w$ , so we have two views into AFF's: either as function fields of a curve or an AFF given by an equation, both the descriptions are based on the polynomial " $w$ ".

S. Places

In the whole section  $k$  will be a field,  $w = y^m g(x, y) + h(x) + y \in k[x, y]$  where  $h \in k[x] - \{0\}, g \in k[x, y], m := \text{mult}(h) \geq 2, \text{mult}(g) \geq 1$ .

Comment Our aim is to say more about places on an AFF given by  $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are (and could be) everywhere of positive multiplicity, i.e. without constants (or just, everywhere).

The condition  $m \geq 2$  &  $\text{mult}_y g \geq 1$  are stronger but satisfiable.

T&N Let  $a = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j \in k[x, y] - \{0\}$ , then define:  
 $v(a) := \text{mult}(a(x, y^m)) = \min \{i \mid a_{ij} \neq 0\}$   $\downarrow$   $m$ -weight multiplicity

$$s(a) := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}(a)} c_{ij} x^i y^j \quad (c_{ij} \in K, i \geq 0, j \geq 0)$$

$$S(a) := \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}(a)} a_{ij} x^i y^j \in K[x,y] - \text{"m-socket"}$$

**Comment:** We need to determine a "lower part" of a polynomial (measured by  $m$ ) as a part defining / restricting multiplicities.

**Observation** Let  $a, b \in K[x,y] \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

(1)  $\text{mult}(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a) + \text{mult}(b)$ , if  $\text{mult}(a) < \text{mult}(b) \Rightarrow \text{mult}(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a)$   
 (cf. it will be counter to the claim about degree! The proof use minimality of lexicographic order on  $(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}$ )

(2)  $\mu(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a \cdot b) \cdot \mu(x^i y^j) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{mult}(a \cdot b) \cdot (i+j) = \mu(a) + \mu(b)$   
 (cf.  $\mu(a) < \mu(b) \Leftrightarrow \text{mult}(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a) \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} \mu(a \cdot b) = \mu(a)$ )

(3)  $(i+j) + (k+l) = \mu(a) + \mu(b) \stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} \mu(a \cdot b) = \mu(a)$  &  $(i+j) > \mu(a) \Rightarrow i+k < \mu(b) \Rightarrow b_{kl} = 0$

$\Rightarrow S(a) \cdot S(b) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{S}(a)} a_{ij} \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathcal{S}(b)} b_{kl} x^{i+k} y^{j+l} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{(i,j,k,l)} a_{ij} b_{kl} x^{i+k} y^{j+l} = S(a \cdot b)$

(4)  $\mu(a) = \mu(S(a))$  &  $\mu(a) \geq \text{mult}(a)$   
 & if  $\mu(a) < \mu(b) \Rightarrow S(a \cdot b) = S(a)$

**T&N** Let  $\Lambda: K[x,y] \rightarrow K[x,y]$  be the  $K$ -endomorphism defined by the rule  $\Lambda(x^i y^j) = x^{i-1} y^{j-1}$  - it's substitution (to the second coordinate)

**Lemma 5.1** For any  $i, j \geq 0$ ,  $\mu(\Lambda(x^i y^j)) = i+j-1$  and  $S(\Lambda(x^i y^j)) = x^{i-1} y^{j-1}$

**Comment:** Note that  $\mu(x^i y^j) = i+j = \mu(\Lambda(x^i y^j)) + 1$ , i.e.  $x^i y^j$  and  $\Lambda(x^i y^j)$  has the same  $m$ -weighted multiplicity. Furthermore  $\Lambda$  "shifts" the  $m$ -socket to the variable  $x$ .

**Proof:** Note  $\mu(-x) = \mu(x) = \text{mult}(x) = 1$   
 $\mu(-y) = \mu(y) = \text{mult}(y) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(-x-y) = \mu(x) = 1$  &  $S(-x-y) \stackrel{(4)}{=} S(-x) = (-x) x^0 y^0 = -x$

To sum up:  $S(\Lambda(x^i y^j)) = S(x^i (-x-y)^j) \stackrel{(3)}{=} S(x^i) \cdot S(-x-y)^j = x^i (-x)^j = (-x)^j x^i = (-x)^j x^i$

**Example 5.2** Let  $\tilde{m} = (y+x+1)^2 - (x^3+2x+1) \in \mathbb{R}[x,y]$

$\text{GCD}((x^3+2x+1), (x^3+2x+1)') = 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{m}$  is a smooth WSP

Put  $m_1 = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m} = \frac{1}{2} (y^2 + x^2 + 2yx + 2y - x^3)$  =  $y \cdot (x + \frac{y}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + 3) + y$

Since  $\text{mult } y = 1$ ,  $m_1 = \text{mult}(x) = 2 \Rightarrow m_1$  is of regular type

Computes  $\mu(y) = \text{mult}(y) \cdot \mu(x) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ ,  $S(y) = x$ ,  $\mu(x) = 2$ ,  $S(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^2$   
 $\mu(x^3 y^2) = 3+2=5$ ,  $\mu(x^2 y^3) = 2+3=5 \Rightarrow \mu(x^3 y^2 + x^2 y^3) = 2$   
 $S(\Lambda(x^3 y^2 + x^2 y^3)) = S(\Lambda(x^2 y^2)) = \frac{1}{2} x^2$  (by the proof of 5.1)