

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$

- $G \subset \Omega$ open. λ vanishes on G if $\lambda(\varphi) = 0$ whenever $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\text{spt } \varphi \subset G$
- $\text{spt } \lambda = \Omega \setminus \bigcup \{G \subset \Omega \text{ open}; \lambda \text{ vanishes on } G\}$
 $= \{x \in \Omega; \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(x); \text{spt } \varphi \subset U(x, \varepsilon) \text{ and } \lambda(\varphi) \neq 0\}$
- λ has compact support if $\text{spt } \lambda$ is a compact subset of Ω

Proposition VII.12: $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$

(a) $\lambda = \lambda_f$ for some $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\text{spt } \lambda = \text{spt } f := \{x \in \Omega; \forall \varepsilon > 0 : \lambda^d(\{y \in U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega; f(y) \neq 0\}) > 0\}$$

\lceil $x \notin \text{spt } f \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $f = 0$ a.e. on $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda_f$ vanishes on $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega \Rightarrow x \notin \text{spt } \lambda$

\rightrightarrows : Assume $x \in \text{spt } f$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then f is not a.e. zero
on $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$. By Lemma VII.2 we deduce that there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega)$
s.t. $\int_{U(x, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega} f \varphi \neq 0$. Then $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_f(\varphi) \neq 0$.

We have verified that $x \in \text{spt } \lambda$ \rfloor

Remark If f is cts, this support coincide with the standard one:

Set $G = \{x \in \Omega; f(x) \neq 0\}$. Then G is open (by continuity of f)

$\bullet x \notin \overline{G} \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 : U(x, \varepsilon) \cap G = \emptyset$. As $\lambda^d(\emptyset) = 0$, $x \notin \text{spt } f$

$\bullet x \in \overline{G} \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 : U(x, \varepsilon) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Any nonempty opened has strictly positive λ^d -measure, so $x \in \text{spt } f$

(b) $\lambda = \lambda_\mu$ for a measure $\mu \Rightarrow \text{spt } \lambda = \text{spt } \mu := \Omega \setminus \bigcup \{G \subset \Omega \text{ open}; \forall B \subset G \text{ Borel}: \mu(B) = 0\}$

\lceil $\zeta \subset \Omega$ open. Then λ_μ vanishes on $\zeta \Leftrightarrow \lambda_\zeta = 0$ (i.e. $\forall B \subset \zeta$ Borel: $\mu(B) = 0$)

\lceil \Leftarrow obvious

\Rightarrow Lemma VII.2 \rfloor

(c) $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$; $\text{spt } \varphi \cap \text{spt } 1 = \emptyset \Rightarrow 1(\varphi) = 0$
 (i.e. 1 vanishes on $\Omega \setminus \text{spt } 1$)

$\lceil \text{spt } \varphi \cap \text{spt } 1 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{spt } \varphi \subset \bigcup \mathcal{E}_G \subset \Omega \text{ open } j \text{ 1 vanishes on } G_j \}$

$\text{spt } \varphi$ is compact \Rightarrow there is a finite subcover, i.e., there are

$G_1, \dots, G_n \subset \Omega$ open, 1 vanishes on G_j for $j=1 \dots n$

s.t. $\text{spt } \varphi \subset G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$.

We will be done if we show that 1 vanishes on $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_n$.

To this end it is enough to use induction and the following claim:

Claim: $G_1, G_2 \subset \Omega$ open, 1 vanishes on G_1 and on $G_2 \Rightarrow 1$ vanishes on $G_1 \cup G_2$

Proof: Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\text{spt } \varphi \subset G_1 \cup G_2$

- If $\text{spt } \varphi \subset G_1$ or $\text{spt } \varphi \subset G_2$, then $1(\varphi) = 0$

- Assume $\text{spt } \varphi \notin G_1$ and $\text{spt } \varphi \notin G_2$

Let $L := \text{spt } \varphi \setminus G_2$. Then L is a nonempty compact subset of G_1

Fix $\delta > 0$ s.t. $3\delta < \text{dist}(L, (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus G_1))$

Let (h_ε) be a smoothing kernel and fix $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} < \delta$

Set $\psi := h_\varepsilon * \varphi_{L + B(0, \delta)}$

Then $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\text{spt } \psi \subset L + B(0, 2\delta) + \text{spt } \varphi \subset L + B(0, 2\delta) + U(G_2) \subset G_1$

Moreover, $\psi = 1$ on $L + B(0, \delta)$

Let $\varphi_1 = \psi \cdot \varphi$ and $\varphi_2 = (1 - \psi) \cdot \varphi$

Then $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\text{spt } \varphi_1 \subset \text{spt } \varphi \subset G_1$, so $1(\varphi_1) = 0$

$\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\text{spt } \varphi_2 \subset \overline{\text{spt } \varphi \setminus (L + B(0, \delta))} \subset$
 $\subset \text{spt } \varphi \setminus (L + U(G_2)) \subset \text{spt } \varphi \setminus L \subset G_2$,

so $1(\varphi_2) = 0$

Hence $1(\varphi) = 1(\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi + (1 - \varphi_1) \cdot \varphi) = 1(\varphi_1) + 1(\varphi_2) = 0$

□

✓

(d) $\mathbf{1}$ has compact support $\Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0 \forall |\lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N$
 for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

Γ $\text{spt } \mathbf{1}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, 3\delta) \subset \mathbb{R}$
 Then $K := \text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, 3\delta)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}
 Let (h_n) be a smoothing kernel, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{k} < \delta$
 and set $\psi = h_k * \varphi_{\text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, 2\delta)}$. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
 $\text{spt } \psi \subset \text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, 2\delta) + (0, \frac{1}{k}) \subset K$
 $\psi = 1$ on $\text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, \delta)$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$|\lambda(\varphi)| = |\lambda(\varphi \cdot \psi)| + \underbrace{|\lambda(\varphi \cdot (1-\psi))|}_{=0 \text{ by (c)}} = |\lambda(\varphi \cdot \psi)|$$

by (c) since $\varphi(1-\psi) = 0$ on $\text{spt } \mathbf{1} + B(0, \delta)$
 hence $\text{spt } \varphi(1-\psi) \cap \text{spt } \mathbf{1} = \emptyset$

Finally: let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ be such that

$$|\lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N \text{ for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R})$$

Then for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$|\lambda(\varphi)| = |\lambda(\varphi \cdot \psi)| \leq C \|\varphi \cdot \psi\|_N \leq C \cdot 2^N \|\psi\|_N \cdot \|\varphi\|_N$$

$\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R})$ ↗ see the proof of Prop. 8(d)

(e) $\text{spt } \mathbf{1} = \{\mathbf{p}\} \Leftrightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0, c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \forall i \leq N \text{ s.t.}$
 $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} c_\alpha D^\alpha \wedge \delta_p \quad [\delta_p = \text{Dirac measure at } p]$

$\Gamma \Leftarrow$: clear

\Rightarrow : WLOG $p = 0$, so assume that $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{spt } \mathbf{1} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$

By (d) we find $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C > 0$ s.t. $|\lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

We will show that $\mathbf{1}$ is a linear combination of $D^\alpha \delta_0$, $|\alpha| \leq N$

Due to Lemma VI.3 it's equivalent to $\bigwedge_{|\alpha| \leq N} \ker D^\alpha \delta_0 \subset \ker \mathbf{1}$

So, it is enough to show:

$$(*) \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}), \quad D^\alpha \psi(0) = 0 \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq N \implies \Lambda(\psi) = 0$$

Fix $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ s.t. $B(0, 2R) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and find $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad \text{supp } \psi \subset U(0, 2R), \quad \psi = 1 \text{ on } B(0, R) \quad (\text{see Example IV.2.6})$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\psi_m(x) = \psi(mx)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\text{Then } \psi_m \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{supp } \psi_m \subset U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right), \quad \psi_m = 1 \text{ on } B\left(0, \frac{R}{m}\right)$$

Hence, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$|\Lambda(\psi)| = |\Lambda(\psi \cdot \psi_m)| \leq C \cdot \|\psi \cdot \psi_m\|_N$$

↑
con

Let us estimate $\|\psi \cdot \psi_m\|_N$: Fix α , $|\alpha| \leq N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha(\psi \cdot \psi_m)(x)| &= \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \psi(x) \cdot D^{\alpha-\beta} \psi_m(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \psi(x) \cdot \frac{1}{m^{|\alpha|-\beta}} D^{\alpha-\beta} \psi(mx) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{m^{|\alpha|-\beta}} \|\psi\|_N \cdot \|D^\beta \psi\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp } \psi \subset U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right)$, we deduce

$$\|D^\alpha(\psi \cdot \psi_m)\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{m^{|\alpha|-\beta}} \|\psi\|_N \cdot \|D^\beta \psi\|_{U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right)} \|_\infty$$

Fix now $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $D^\alpha \psi(0) = 0$ for $|\alpha| \leq N$

Let $\gamma > 0$ be arbitrary

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall x \in U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right), \forall \alpha, |\alpha| = N$: $|D^\alpha \psi(x)| < \gamma$

Claim: $x \in U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right), |\alpha| \leq N \Rightarrow |D^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq \gamma \cdot d^{N-|\alpha|} \cdot \|x\|^{N-|\alpha|}$

Fix α and $x \in U\left(0, \frac{2}{m}R\right)$. We will prove by induction on $|\alpha|$:

a) $|\alpha| = N$ --- by the choice of m

b) Assume it holds for $|\alpha| = n$ and fix α with $|\alpha| = n+1$

$$\text{Then } |D^\alpha \psi(x)| = |D^\alpha \psi(x) - D^\alpha \psi(0)| = \left| \int_0^x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (D^\alpha \psi(t)) \right|_{t=s} =$$

for some $s \in (0, 1)$
by the mean value theorem

e_j is the canonical vector on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbb{D}^{d+\beta} \varphi(sx)) \cdot e_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d |\mathbb{D}^{d+\beta} \varphi(sx)| \cdot |e_j| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma \cdot d^{N-k} \cdot \|x\|^{N-k} \cdot |e_j| \leq d \cdot \gamma \cdot d^{N-k} \|x\|^{N-k+1} \\
 &\quad \uparrow \text{induction hypothesis} \\
 &= \gamma d^{N-k+1} \|x\|^{N-k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

]

It follows that (for the above choice of m)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathbb{D}^\alpha(\varphi \cdot \psi_m)\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{m^{|\beta|-|\alpha|}} \|\psi\|_N \cdot \|\mathbb{D}^\beta \varphi\|_{L^1(0, \frac{r}{m})} \\
 &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{1}{m^{|\beta|-|\alpha|}} \|\psi\|_N \cdot \gamma d^{N-|\beta|} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{m} r\right)^{N-|\beta|} \\
 &= \gamma \cdot \|\psi\|_N \cdot \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} d^{N-|\beta|} \underbrace{\left(\frac{2r}{m}\right)^{N-|\beta|}}_{\leq d^N} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{m^{N+1+1-2|\beta|}}}_{\leq 1} \\
 &\leq \gamma \cdot \|\psi\|_N d^N \cdot 2^N
 \end{aligned}$$

$$So, |\Lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot \gamma \cdot \|\psi\|_N d^N \cdot 2^N$$

$$\gamma > 0 \text{ arbitrary} \Rightarrow \Lambda(\varphi) = 0$$

This completes the proof.