

# 16.3 Asymptotic normality of LSE under homoscedasticity

10  
19

Repetition

$$(A0) (Y_i, X_i^T)^T \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X^T)^T, \quad E(Y|X) = X^T \beta$$

$$(A1) E|X_j X_k| < \infty \quad \text{elements of } X, \quad E X X^T = W > 0$$

$$V = W^{-1} = (E(X X^T))^{-1}$$

(A2 homoscedastic)

$$\sigma^2(X) = \text{var}(Y|X) = \text{var}(e|X) = \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var } e = \sigma^2$$

## Theorem 16.4 Asymptotic normality of LSE in homoscedastic case

Assumptions (A0), (A1), (A2 homoscedastic), additionally  $E|\varepsilon^2 X_j X_k| < \infty \quad \forall j, k$ .

elements of a generic  $X = (X_0, \dots, X_{k-1})^T$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{D} N_k(0_k, \sigma^2 V), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\hat{\theta}_n = l^T \hat{\beta}_n, \quad \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - l^T \beta) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2 l^T V l), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\hat{\xi}_n = L \hat{\beta}_n, \quad \sqrt{n} (\hat{\xi}_n - L \beta) \xrightarrow{D} N_m(0_m, \sigma^2 L V L^T), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show  $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{D} N(\dots)$ .

The rest follows from Cramer-Wold & properties of a normal distribution.

will be shown jointly with heteroscedastic case

## Usage of Theorem 16.4

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \overset{d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2 V)$$

$$(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \overset{d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} V)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_n \overset{d}{\sim} N(\beta, \sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} V)$$

If I knew  $\sigma^2$  and matrix  $V = (E X X^T)^{-1}$ , asymptotic confidence intervals (& tests) can be constructed for elements of  $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1})^T$ :

$$\hat{\beta}_{n,j} \pm \sqrt{\sigma^2 \frac{V_{jj}}{n}} \cdot \underbrace{u(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}_{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}) \text{ quantile of } N(0,1)}$$

or for linear combinations  $l^T \beta$ :

$$l^T \hat{\beta}_n \pm \sqrt{\sigma^2 \frac{1}{n} l^T V l} \cdot u(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$$

We don't know  $\sigma^2, V$ .

How about to replace them by their consistent estimators:

$$V_n = (X_n^T X_n)^{-1}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^T \right)^{-1}$$

$$MSE_n \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

$$n V_n \xrightarrow{P} V$$

$$V_n \approx \frac{1}{n} V$$

→  $(1-\alpha) \cdot 100\%$  asymptotic conf. interval for  $l^T \beta$ ?

$$l^T \hat{\beta}_n \pm \sqrt{MSE_n l^T V_n l} \cdot u(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$$

Is this true? YES! → next page

# 16.3.1 Asymptotic validity of the classical inference under homoscedast. but non-normality

We know (for long), for  $l = (l_0, \dots, l_{k-1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^k$   
 With homoscedasticity & NORMALITY, for each  $n$ :

$$T_n = \frac{l^T \hat{\beta}_n - l^T \beta}{\sqrt{MSE_n l^T (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} l}} \sim t_{n-k}$$

→  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  conf. interval for  $l^T \beta$  is

$$l^T \hat{\beta}_n \pm \underbrace{\sqrt{MSE_n l^T (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} l}}_{V_n} \cdot t_{n-k}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$$

→ test of  $H_0: l^T \beta = \theta^0$ , for  $\theta^0 \in \mathbb{R}$

Is the above inference valid even without normality, at least asymptotically?

YES ↓

$$T_n = \frac{l^T \hat{\beta}_n - l^T \beta}{\sqrt{MSE_n l^T (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} l}} = \underbrace{\frac{l^T \hat{\beta}_n - l^T \beta}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 l^T l}}}_{\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D} \text{ (Theorem 16.4)}} \cdot \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 l^T l}{n MSE_n l^T (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} l}}}_{\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P \text{ (Lemma 16.1 + Theor. 16.3)}}$$

Cramér-Lévy theorem  
 ⇒

$$T_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1), n \rightarrow \infty$$

≡ Consequence of Theorem 16.4.

Hence  $\forall \theta^0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\theta^0 = l^T \beta^0$

$$P(|T_n| < u(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}); \theta = \theta^0) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha, n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$P\left(\left| \frac{l^T \hat{\beta}_n - l^T \beta}{\sqrt{MSE_n} l^T (X_n^T X_n) l} \right| < u(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}); \theta = \theta^0\right) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

$$P\left(\underbrace{\left( l^T \hat{\beta}_n \pm \sqrt{MSE_n} l^T (X_n^T X_n) l \cdot u(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \right)}_{\text{interval } I_n^w \text{ on slide 13}}; \theta = \theta^0\right) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

interval  $I_n^w$  on slide 13

It also holds that  $T_v \sim t_v \Rightarrow T_v \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1), v \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $t_{n-k}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \rightarrow u(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}), n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\rightarrow P\left(\underbrace{\left( l^T \hat{\beta}_n \pm \sqrt{MSE_n} l^T (X_n^T X_n) l \cdot t_{n-k}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \right)}_{\text{interval } I_n^t \text{ on slide 13}}; \theta = \theta^0\right) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

interval  $I_n^t$  on slide 13

Standard inference used under assumption of normality is asymptotically (for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) valid even without normality.

(assumptions of theorem 16.4 all needed)

- = reasonable behavior of
- (a) error terms
- (b) covariates

Analogous steps in case of a vector

parameter  $\xi = L\beta$ ,  $L_{m \times k}$  matrix  
with linear indep. rows,  $m \leq k$

We know (for long)

with homoscedasticity & NORMALITY, for each  $n$

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{m} (L\hat{\beta}_n - L\beta)^T \{ M_{\xi, n} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \}^{-1} (L\hat{\beta}_n - L\beta) \sim F_{m, n-k}$$

→  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  conf. ellipsoid for  $\xi = L\beta$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} : \frac{1}{m} (L\hat{\beta}_n - \xi)^T \{ M_{\xi, n} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \}^{-1} (L\hat{\beta}_n - \xi) < F_{m, n-k} (1-\alpha)$$

→ test of  $H_0: L\beta = \xi^0$ ,  $\xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Is the above inference valid even without normality, at least asymptotically?

YES ↴

$$m Q_n = \underbrace{\sqrt{n}}_{\downarrow \mathcal{O}} (L\hat{\beta}_n - L\beta)^T \underbrace{\{ M_{\xi, n} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \}^{-1}}_{\downarrow P} (L\hat{\beta}_n - L\beta) \underbrace{\sqrt{n}}_{\downarrow \mathcal{O}}$$

$N(0, \sigma^2 L V L^T)$        $(\sigma^2 L V L^T)^{-1}$        $N(0, \sigma^2 L V L^T)$

Cramér-Rao-Cramer-Rao ⇒

$$m \cdot Q_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \chi^2_m, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

≡ Consequence of Theorem 16.4

Hence  $\forall \xi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\xi^0 = L\beta$

15

$$P((L\hat{\beta} - L\beta)^T \{M_{\text{sem}} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \gamma^{-1} (L\hat{\beta} - L\beta)\} < \chi_m^2(1-\alpha); L\beta = \xi^0)$$

$\rightarrow 1-\alpha$   
 $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\rightarrow$  defines a confidence ellipsoid

$$K_n^{\xi} = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m : (\xi - L\hat{\beta})^T \{M_{\text{sem}} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \gamma^{-1} (\xi - L\hat{\beta})\} < \chi_m^2(1-\alpha) \right\}$$

with asymptotic coverage of  $1-\alpha$

16

It also holds that  $Q_v \sim F_{m,v} \Rightarrow m Q_v \xrightarrow{D} \chi_m^2$ ,  
 $v \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $m \cdot F_{m, n-k}(1-\alpha) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_m^2(1-\alpha)$

$\Rightarrow P(K_n^F \ni \xi^0; L\beta = \xi^0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1-\alpha$

where  $K_n^F = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m : (\xi - L\hat{\beta})^T \{M_{\text{sem}} L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T \gamma^{-1} (\xi - L\hat{\beta})\} < m \cdot F_{m, n-k}(1-\alpha) \right\}$

confidence ellipsoid being used under assumption of normality

16

## Overall summary

Even without normality (still with homoscedasticity) standard inference based on statistics

$$T_n = \frac{l^T \hat{\beta}_n - l^T \beta}{\sqrt{MSE_n l^T (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} l}}$$

*is t-test*

and 
$$Q_n = \frac{1}{m} (L \hat{\beta}_n - L \beta)^T (MSE_n L (X_n^T X_n)^{-1} L^T)^{-1} y^{-1}$$

$(L \hat{\beta}_n - L \beta)$  *is  $F_{m, n-k}$*

is asymptotically valid (under assumptions of ~~F~~ Theorem 16.4 ( $\approx$  CLT)).

